**2024 Black Book Research™**

Top Client-Rated Interface & Interoperability Solutions

Clinical Data Integration Engines

Survey Period: Q3 2023 – Q1 2024 | Published: April 2024

Black Book™ annually evaluates leading health care/medical software and service providers across 18 operational excellence key performance indicators completely from the perspective of the client experience. Independent and unbiased from vendor influence, more than 2,500,000 healthcare IT users have contributed to various annual customer satisfaction polls. Suppliers also encourage their clients to participate to produce current and objective customer service data for buyers, analysts, investors, Vendors, competitive suppliers and the media. For more information or to order customized research results, please contact the Client Resource Center at +1 800.863.7590 or Research@BlackBookMarketResearch.com

For more information, visit www.BlackBookMarketResearch.com

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF TABLES

`

2024 Survey Response Rates by Organization Type

Validated System Users

2024 Survey Respondent Identification	Number of Responses Validated	Percent of Total Responses
Hospitals and Health Systems	1,438	37.5%
Physician & Medical Organizations	404	10.5%
Public Health Organizations & Agencies	384	10.0%
Payers	829	21.6%
Ambulatory Provider & Diagnostic Organizations	775	20.2%
Total	**3,830**	**100%**

Source: Black Book^{TM}2024

Survey Overview

In Q3 2023 through Q1 2024, the Black Book's client/user/prospective customer survey investigated 38 healthcare data integration and connectivity vendors utilized by 2,617 validated system provider users for rankings and an additional 384 public health agency leaders responded. Nearly two hundred prospective data integration stakeholders participated in potential use polls but not ballots that evaluate vendor performance.

Additionally, in an adjunct survey, Black Book received completed ballots from 829 healthcare payers, insurers, and related organizations on key performance indicators and trend development questions relating to payer involvement in data integration and health information exchange sustainability.

Black Book Methodology

How the Data Sets are Collected

Black Book collects ballot results on 18 performance areas of operational excellence to rank vendors by healthcare technology product lines. The gathered data are subjected immediately to an internal and external audit to verify completeness and accuracy and to make sure the respondent is valid while ensuring that the anonymity of the client company is maintained. During the audit, each data set is reviewed by a Black Book executive and at least two other people. In this way, Black Book's clients can clearly see how a vendor is truly performing. The 18 criteria on operational excellence are subdivided by the client's industry, market size, geography and function outsourced and reported accordingly.

Situational and market studies are conducted on areas of high interest such as e-Prescribing, Health Information Exchange, Accountable Care organization, hospital software, services providers, educational providers in e-health, bench markers and advisors. These specific survey areas range from four to 20 questions or criteria each.

Understanding the Statistical Confidence of Black Book Data

Statistical confidence for each performance rating is based upon the number of organizations scoring the electronic medical and health records service. Black Book identifies data confidence by one of several means:

- Top-10-ranked vendors must have a minimum of ten unique clients represented. Broad categories require a minimum of 20 unique client ballots. Data that are asterisked (*) represent a sample size below required limits and are intended to be used for tracking purposes only, not ranking purposes. Performance data for an asterisked vendor's services can vary widely until a larger sample size is achieved. The margin of error can be very large, and the reader is responsible for considering the possible current and future variation (margin of error) in the Black Book performance score reported.

- Vendors with over 20 unique client votes are eligible for top 10 rankings and are assured to have highest confidence and lowest variation. Confidence increases as more organizations report on their outsourcing vendor. Data reported in this form are shown with a 95% confidence level (within a margin of 0.25, 0.20 or 0.15, respectively).

- Raw numbers include the quantity of completed surveys and the number of unique organizations contributing the data for the survey pool of interest.

2024 Results

Clinical Data Integration Platforms

Providers

Payers

Ambulatory & Diagnostic Facilities

Public Health Agencies

2024 Top Overall Clinical Data Integration Platforms Honors

TOP VENDOR: HOSPITALS & HEALTH SYSTEMS

INFOR

TOP VENDOR: PAYERS

INFOR

TOP VENDOR: PUBLIC HEALTH AGENCIES & ORGANIZATIONS

INFOR

AMBULATORY, DIAGNOSTIC & PHYSICIAN FACILITIE/MEDICAL FACILITIES

INFOR

Figure 1: Clinical Data Integration Platform Vendors Are Defined as Being Comprised of Four Surveyed User Groups

Hospitals & Health Systems	Payers	Public Health Agencies	Ambulatory & Diagnostic Organizations

Figure 2: Key to Raw Scores

0.00–5.79 ►	◄ 5.80–7.32 ►	◄ 7.33–8.70 ►	◄ 8.71–10.00
Deal breaking dissatisfaction **Does not meet expectations** **Cannot recommend vendor**	**Neutral** **Meets/does not meet expectations consistently** **Would not likely recommend vendor**	**Satisfactory performance** **Meets expectations** **Recommends vendor**	**Overwhelming satisfaction** **Exceeds expectations** **Highly recommended vendor**

Source: Black Book Research

Color-Coded Stoplight Dashboard Scoring Key

Green	Top 10% scores better than 90% of Vendors. Green coded vendors have received constantly highest client satisfaction scores.	**8.71 +**
Clear	Top 33% scores better than two-thirds of Vendors. Well-scored vendor which have middle of the pack results.	**7.33 to 8.70**
Yellow	Mid Pack: scores are not exceptionally high or low. Cautionary performance scores, areas of improvement required.	**5.80 to 7.32**
Red	Lowest 10%: scores worse than 90% of Vendors. Poor performances reported potential cause for service and contractual cancellations.	**Less than 5.79**

Raw Score Compilation and Scale of Reference

Black Book raw score scales

0 = Deal breaking dissatisfaction   ◄ ___ ►   10 = Exceeds all expectations

Source: Black Book Research

Individual vendors can be examined by specific indicators on each of the main functions of platform vendors as well as grouped and summarized subsets. Details of each subset are contained so that each vendor may be analyzed by function and end-to-end data integration services collectively.

Scoring Key

Overall Rank	Q1 Criteria Rank	Company	Hospitals & Health Systems	Payers	Public Health Agencies	Ambulatory & Diagnostic Providers	Mean
5	1	Platform Vendor Name	8.49	8.63	8.50	8.01	8.66

Source: Black Book Research

- **Overall rank** – this rank references the final position of all 18 criteria averaged by the mean score collectively. This vendor ranked fifth of the 20 competitors.
- **Criteria rank** – refers to the number of the question or criteria surveyed. This is the sixth question of the 18 criteria of which this vendor ranked first of the 20 vendors analyzed positioned only on this particular criteria or question. Each vendor required ten unique client ballots validated to be included in the top ten ranks.
- **Company** – name of vendor.
- **Subsections** – each subset comprises one-fourth of the total vendor mean at the end of this row and includes all buyers and users who indicate that they contract each respective functional subsection with the supplier, specific to their organization or enterprise.
- **Mean** – congruent with the criteria rank, the mean is a calculation of all four subsets of users surveyed. As a final ranking reference, it includes all market sizes, specialties, delivery sites and geographies.

Overall KPI Leaders: Clinical Data Integration Platforms

Summary of Criteria Outcomes

Table 1: Summary of Criteria Outcomes

Total Number One Criteria Ranks	Vendor	Overall Rank
14	INFOR CLOVERLEAF	1
2	INTERFACEWARE IGUANA	2
2	INTERSYSTEMS	3

Source: Black Book Research, 2024

CLINICAL SOFTWARE INTEGRATION ENGINES, CLINICAL CONNECTIVITY

(VENDORS INCLUDED WITH A MINIMUM OF 10 VALIDATED PARTICIPATING CLIENTS)

INFOR CLOVERLEAF	EPIC SYSTEM BRIDGES
INTERFACEWARE IGUANA	REDOX
INTERSYSTEMS HEALTHSHARE	IBM WEBSPHERE
NEXTGEN HEALTHCARE MIRTH	QVERA
LYNIATE COREPOINT RHAPSODY	ORION
PILOTFISH	CHANGE HEALTHCARE SYSTEM
ORACLE EGATE	MEDITECH MAGIC
VMWARE	SUMMIT HEALTHCARE EXCHANGE
GENZEONE	PILOTFISH
MICROSOFT	QVERA
SURESCRIPTS	CHANGE HEALTHCARE
MEDHOST CONNEX	INFORMATICA
SAP POWER DESIGNER	CLARITY

Overall KPI Leaders: Clinical Data Integration Platforms

Top Score Per Individual Criteria

Table 2: Top Score Per Individual Criteria

Question / Criteria		Vendor	Overall Rank
Q1	Strategic Alignment of Client Goals including VBC, RCM & Telehealth	INFOR CLOVERLEAF	1
Q2	Innovation & Optimization	INFOR CLOVERLEAF	1
Q3	Training	INTERSYSTEMS	3
Q4	Client relationships and cultural fit	INFOR CLOVERLEAF	1
Q5	Trust, Accountability, Transparency, Ethics	INFOR CLOVERLEAF	1
Q6	Breadth of offerings, client types, delivery excellence	INFOR CLOVERLEAF	1
Q7	Deployment and implementation	INFOR CLOVERLEAF	1
Q8	Customization	INTERSYSTEMS	3
Q9	Integration, connectivity and interfaces	INFOR CLOVERLEAF	1
Q10	Scalability, client adaptability, flexible pricing	INFOR CLOVERLEAF	1
Q11	Compensation and employee performance	INTERFACEWARE IGUANA	2
Q12	Reliability	INFOR CLOVERLEAF	1
Q13	Brand image and marketing communications	INFOR CLOVERLEAF	1
Q14	Marginal value adds and modules	INTERFACEWARE IGUANA	2
Q15	Financial Stability & Managerial Viability	INFOR CLOVERLEAF	1
Q16	Data security, patient privacy and backup services	INFOR CLOVERLEAF	1
Q17	Account Management Support and customer care	INFOR CLOVERLEAF	1
Q18	Best of breed technology and process improvement	INFOR CLOVERLEAF	1

Source: Black Book™ 2024

Individual Vendor Key Performance: CLINICAL DATA INTEGRATION PLATFORMS

Table 3: Top Ranked Data Interoperability Vendors – Raw/Aggregate Satisfaction Scores 2024, All users

Rank	Vendor	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9	Q10	Q11	Q12	Q13	Q14	Q15	Q16	Q17	Q18	Mean
1	INFOR CLOVERLEAF	9.75	9.75	9.37	9.46	9.67	9.59	9.57	9.34	9.69	9.44	9.24	9.54	9.42	9.17	9.26	9.50	9.47	9.66	**9.48**
2	IGUANA	9.28	9.19	9.14	9.00	9.12	9.56	9.12	9.37	9.11	9.00	9.31	8.68	9.08	9.19	8.97	8.81	9.44	9.16	**9.14**
3	INTERSYSTEMS	9.15	9.19	9.40	9.22	8.87	7.45	8.69	9.48	8.51	9.28	8.65	9.21	8.33	8.90	8.17	9.34	8.48	8.98	**8.85**
4	NEXTGEN MIRTH	8.92	9.17	8.69	8.96	8.92	8.89	8.53	8.00	8.99	9.19	7.09	6.88	8.23	7.08	8.93	8.83	8.23	8.61	**8.45**
5	RHAPSODY	8.93	8.98	8.34	8.97	9.03	9.23	8.36	8.71	8.57	9.08	8.75	8.19	6.06	8.14	6.08	8.46	8.65	8.78	**8.41**
6	PILOTFISH	9.03	7.75	9.07	9.38	8.42	8.62	9.29	7.86	7.76	7.11	7.39	8.46	8.53	7.12	8.19	8.95	9.30	7.91	**8.34**
7	ORACLE	8.49	8.08	8.36	7.20	8.59	8.76	8.20	7.90	8.87	7.10	9.01	8.69	7.80	8.71	9.07	8.00	8.53	8.28	**8.31**
8	VMWARE	7.14	8.85	8.27	8.09	8.14	9.27	9.51	8.15	7.61	8.06	8.96	8.30	8.38	8.62	7.02	7.98	8.57	8.10	**8.28**
9	GENZEONE	8.00	7.09	9.13	7.44	7.97	8.06	7.52	8.77	8.69	8.87	7.83	6.20	8.47	7.86	8.41	8.57	8.67	8.74	**8.13**
10	MICROSOFT	8.84	7.60	7.44	9.04	8.39	7.20	8.47	7.44	7.51	7.54	8.94	8.68	7.10	7.92	7.71	8.71	9.12	8.54	**8.12**
11	SURESCRIPTS	7.77	6.95	8.40	8.11	8.77	8.05	6.99	7.08	7.83	8.01	8.61	7.12	5.99	7.17	7.52	8.58	7.78	8.22	**7.72**
12	MEDHOST	8.77	8.00	8.80	8.00	5.69	7.07	8.02	8.08	7.55	7.03	6.87	8.57	7.08	8.17	7.11	7.13	6.34	7.07	**7.52**
13	SAP	7.99	7.12	8.15	6.32	8.16	8.16	6.94	8.23	8.39	6.95	6.71	7.21	8.52	8.61	6.56	7.99	6.11	7.11	**7.51**
14	EPIC SYSTEMS	6.06	8.99	7.78	8.40	5.03	6.55	8.87	7.24	8.22	8.17	6.64	7.36	5.17	8.77	8.91	7.39	5.74	8.58	**7.44**
15	REDOX	6.49	7.02	8.27	7.98	5.30	8.02	7.80	8.61	6.01	5.92	5.77	6.69	5.54	8.33	6.45	8.59	8.76	5.45	**7.06**
16	IBM	7.03	5.90	9.02	6.09	7.38	6.76	6.54	7.80	8.78	7.18	5.96	5.27	6.85	5.70	7.27	5.92	5.35	7.83	**6.81**
17	QVERA	6.12	6.07	7.32	7.85	5.96	8.90	6.22	6.02	7.00	8.06	5.53	6.31	7.97	6.84	5.76	6.99	7.18	6.15	**6.79**
18	ORION	9.12	4.75	7.83	5.97	7.24	7.60	5.34	6.83	6.68	5.29	7.02	6.62	6.98	8.79	6.96	5.37	5.15	6.33	**6.66**
19	CHANGE HC	5.89	7.14	5.75	7.80	3.99	5.50	7.19	5.55	5.70	7.04	7.22	4.88	6.94	6.99	7.19	2.53	5.59	7.38	**6.13**
20	MEDITECH	5.71	4.24	5.72	7.44	5.97	5.74	6.96	5.74	7.23	5.27	6.92	6.53	5.11	7.97	5.48	6.13	5.74	5.04	**6.05**

Interoperability Solutions: Clinical Data Integration Platforms

1. Strategic Alignment of Vendor Offerings to Organizational Goals & Client's Mission (Including MACRA, FHIR, ONC, HIE, Population Health, RCM, Value Based Care)

Q1: Organizational structure meets the needs of stakeholders or customers, and stakeholder satisfaction is the most important priority. The client is likely to recommend the vendor to similar user organizations.

OVERALL RANK	Q1 CRITERIA RANK	DATA INTEGRATION PLATFORM VENDOR	HOSPITALS & HEALTH SYSTEMS	PAYERS	PUBLIC HEALTH	AMBULATORY & DIAGNOSTICS	MEAN
1	1	INFOR CLOVERLEAF	9.85	9.61	9.60	9.92	**9.75**
2	2	INTERFACEWARE IGUANA	9.33	9.34	9.29	9.14	**9.28**
3	3	INTERSYSTEMS	9.08	9.05	9.29	9.16	**9.15**
18	4	ORION	8.99	9.11	9.17	9.20	**9.12**
6	5	PILOTFISH	9.27	8.49	9.01	9.34	**9.03**
5	6	LYNIATE RHAPSODY	9.26	8.92	8.65	8.87	**8.93**
4	7	NEXTGEN MIRTH	8.70	9.58	8.93	8.46	**8.92**
10	8	MICROSOFT	8.99	8.79	9.30	8.26	**8.84**
12	9	MEDHOST	8.28	8.59	9.22	9.00	**8.77**
7	10	ORACLE	8.35	9.13	8.10	8.36	**8.49**

Source: Black Book Research™ Q1 2024

Interoperability Solutions: Clinical Data Integration Platforms

2. Innovation and Optimization

Q2: Customers are also continuing to push the envelope for further enhancements to which the vendor is responsive. Clinical data integration clients also believe that their vendors' technology is helping them manage practices more effectively, generate accurate records and reimbursement billings and cut their overhead in ways that were difficult or impossible to accomplish before technology solutions were implemented. Vendor is responsive to make client recommendations with cutting edge improvements.

OVERALL RANK	Q2 CRITERIA RANK	DATA INTEGRATION PLATFORM VENDOR	HOSPITALS & HEALTH SYSTEMS	PAYERS	PUBLIC HEALTH	AMBULATORY & DIAGNOSTICS	MEAN
1	1	INFOR CLOVERLEAF	9.78	9.70	9.72	9.78	**9.75**
2	2	INTERFACEWARE IGUANA	9.10	9.15	9.30	9.21	**9.19**
3	3	INTERSYSTEMS	9.16	9.22	9.37	9.01	**9.19**
4	4	NEXTGEN MIRTH	9.09	9.39	9.13	9.06	**9.17**
14	5	EPIC SYSTEMS	9.05	8.92	8.78	9.20	**8.99**
5	6	LYNIATE RHAPSODY	9.25	9.19	8.74	8.73	**8.98**
8	7	VMWARE	8.67	9.03	9.26	8.42	**8.85**
10	8	MICROSOFT	8.40	7.83	9.04	8.00	**8.32**
16	9	IBM	8.94	8.04	7.71	8.55	**8.31**
7	10	ORACLE	8.00	8.35	8.77	7.20	**8.08**

Source: Black Book Research™ Q1 2024

Interoperability Solutions: Clinical Data Integration Platforms

3. Training

Q3: Data integration platform vendor leadership provides significant and meaningful training opportunities for internal employees and client staff. Leadership strives to develop technology staff, vendor client service and customer servicing consultant employees. Training modules are effective and practical so that minimal post-implementation training is required on or off site. Regular updates are timely and require minimal additional training to implement.

OVERALL RANK	Q3 CRITERIA RANK	DATA INTEGRATION PLATFORM VENDOR	HOSPITALS & HEALTH SYSTEMS	PAYERS	PUBLIC HEALTH	AMBULATORY & DIAGNOSTICS	MEAN
3	1	INTERSYSTEMS	9.41	9.35	9.51	9.33	**9.40**
1	2	INFOR CLOVERLEAF	9.52	9.41	8.97	9.56	**9.37**
2	3	INTERFACEWARE IGUANA	9.31	9.22	8.99	9.05	**9.14**
9	4	GENZEONE	9.32	9.17	8.92	9.10	**9.13**
6	5	PILOTFISH	8.34	9.46	9.06	9.43	**9.07**
16	6	IBM	8.94	9.36	8.97	8.82	**9.02**
12	7	MEDHOST	8.09	8.47	9.43	9.21	**8.80**
19	8	CHANGE HEALTHCARE	9.16	8.89	8.54	8.42	**8.75**
4	9	NEXTGEN MIRTH	8.90	9.10	8.28	8.49	**8.69**
11	10	SURESCRIPTS	8.34	8.64	8.55	8.06	**8.40**

Source: Black Book Research™ Q1 2024

Interoperability Solutions: Clinical Data Integration Platforms

4. Client Relationships and Cultural Fit

Q4: The vendor leadership honors customer relationships highly. The relationship with the integration platform elevates the customer reputation. Improving healthcare delivery efficiency and effectiveness is a priority of the supplier. Governance of engagement is neither complex for buyer nor does it require vendor management attention regularly. There is no regular transparency or quality issue. There are no culture clashes or misfits that threaten relationship's success or client's satisfaction.

OVERALL RANK	Q4 CRITERIA RANK	DATA INTEGRATION PLATFORM VENDOR	HOSPITALS & HEALTH SYSTEMS	PAYERS-	PUBLIC HEALTH	AMBULATORY & DIAGNOSTICS	MEAN
1	1	INFOR CLOVERLEAF	9.16	9.47	9.43	9.78	**9.46**
6	2	PILOTFISH	9.39	9.35	9.06	9.70	**9.38**
3	3	INTERSYSTEMS	9.34	9.31	9.12	9.09	**9.22**
10	4	MICROSOFT	9.05	9.17	9.00	8.94	**9.04**
2	5	INTERFACEWARE IGUANA	9.09	9.05	8.98	8.89	**9.00**
5	6	LYNIATE RHAPSODY	8.87	9.40	8.83	8.78	**8.97**
4	7	NEXTGEN MIRTH	9.10	9.45	8.54	8.74	**8.96**
9	8	GENZEONE	8.84	7.49	9.36	8.41	**8.52**
11	9	SURESCRIPTS	8.31	8.99	8.22	8.46	**8.49**
8	10	VMWARE	8.83	8.49	8.04	8.39	**8.44**

Source: Black Book Research™ Q1 2024

Interoperability Solutions: Clinical Data Integration Platforms

5. Trust, Accountability, Ethics and Transparency

Q5: Trust in enterprise reputation is important to clients as well as prospects. Client possesses an understanding that its data integration solution organization has the people, processes, and resources to effectively deliver the desired business and clinical results, based on its industry reputation and past performance. There are no disconnects between promises and delivery.

OVERALL RANK	Q5 CRITERIA RANK	DATA INTEGRATION PLATFORM VENDOR	HOSPITALS & HEALTH SYSTEMS	PAYERS	PUBLIC HEALTH	AMBULATORY & DIAGNOSTICS	MEAN
1	1	INFOR CLOVERLEAF	9.77	9.58	9.46	9.86	**9.67**
2	2	INTERFACEWARE IGUANA	9.07	9.23	9.07	9.11	**9.12**
5	3	LYNIATE RHAPSODY	9.34	9.04	8.71	9.03	**9.03**
4	4	NEXTGEN MIRTH	9.06	9.26	9.19	8.56	**8.92**
3	5	INTERSYSTEMS	8.95	8.96	8.62	8.93	**8.87**
11	6	SURESCRIPTS	8.53	8.87	8.55	9.14	**8.77**
7	7	ORACLE	9.32	8.96	8.05	8.03	**8.59**
6	8	PILOTFISH	8.71	8.35	7.87	8.76	**8.42**
10	9	MICROSOFT	8.82	8.56	8.25	7.92	**8.39**
13	10	SAP	8.58	8.20	8.11	7.75	**8.16**

Source: Black Book Research™ Q1 2024

Interoperability Solutions: Clinical Data Integration Platforms

6. Breadth of Offerings, Varied Client Settings, Delivery Excellence Across All User Types

Q6: The vendor offers industry recognized horizontal functionality and vertical industry applications and manage bundled services developing new e-Health initiatives. Vendor routinely drives operational performance improvements and results in the areas they affect. Comprehensive offerings are constructed to meet the unique needs of the client's technology initiatives. Breadth of vendor modules offers comprehensive system services and broad modules.

OVERALL RANK	Q6 CRITERIA RANK	DATA INTEGRATION PLATFORM VENDOR	HOSPITALS & HEALTH SYSTEMS	PAYERS	PUBLIC HEALTH	AMBULATORY & DIAGNOSTICS	MEAN
1	1	INFOR CLOVERLEAF	9.56	9.68	9.56	9.54	**9.59**
2	2	INTERFACEWARE IGUANA	9.57	9.48	9.57	9.61	**9.56**
8	3	VMWARE	9.44	9.32	9.19	9.13	**9.27**
5	4	LYNIATE RHAPSODY	9.41	9.36	9.40	8.76	**9.23**
17	5	QVERA	9.05	9.20	8.72	8.63	**8.90**
4	6	NEXTGEN MIRTH	8.63	8.61	8.67	9.64	**8.89**
7	7	ORACLE	9.22	8.86	8.15	8.81	**8.76**
6	8	PILOTFISH	8.98	8.45	8.10	8.96	**8.62**
3	9	INTERSYSTEMS	7.60	7.66	7.35	7.20	**7.45**
18	10	ORION	7.39	7.70	7.21	6.85	**7.29**

Source: Black Book Research™ Q1 2024

Interoperability Solutions: Clinical Data Integration Platforms

7. Deployment and data integration implementation

Q7: *The client deploys at a pace acceptable to the client. Data integration solutions eliminate excessive supervision over vendor implementations. Vendor overcomes client implementation obstacles and challenges effectively. Technical, organizational, and cultural implementation obstacles are handled professionally and punctually. Integration implementation time meets standard expectations. Implementations are efficient and sensitive to users' specific situations which may cause delays.*

OVERALL RANK	Q7 CRITERIA RANK	DATA INTEGRATION PLATFORM VENDOR	HOSPITALS & HEALTH SYSTEMS	PAYERS	PUBLIC HEALTH	AMBULATORY & DIAGNOSTICS	MEAN
1	1	INFOR CLOVERLEAF	9.61	9.35	9.75	9.55	**9.57**
8	2	VMWARE	9.46	9.52	9.81	9.73	**9.51**
6	3	PILOTFISH	9.03	9.38	9.35	9.40	**9.29**
2	4	INTERFACEWARE IGUANA	9.03	9.48	8.45	9.51	**9.12**
14	5	EPIC SYSTEMS	8.90	9.04	8.55	8.99	**8.87**
3	6	INTERSYSTEMS	8.63	8.94	8.09	9.08	**8.69**
4	7	NEXTGEN MIRTH	8.59	8.78	8.05	8.68	**8.53**
10	8	MICROSOFT	8.22	8.93	7.99	8.75	**8.47**
5	9	LYNIATE RHAPSODY	7.87	8.48	8.49	8.60	**8.36**
7	10	ORACLE	8.99	7.60	7.87	8.33	**8.20**

Source: Black Book Research™ Q1 2024

Interoperability Solutions: Clinical Data Integration Platforms

8. Customization

Q8: Data integration solutions are customized to meet the unique needs of specific each organizational model. Little resistance is encountered when changing performance measurements as clients' needs vary. Extraordinary efforts are made to adapt and convert client special needs into workable solutions with efficient cost and time considerations. The software allows for modifications that are not costly or complex.

OVERALL RANK	Q8 CRITERIA RANK	DATA INTEGRATION PLATFORM VENDOR	HOSPITALS & HEALTH SYSTEMS	PAYERS	PUBLIC HEALTH	AMBULATORY & DIAGNOSTICS	MEAN
3	1	INTERSYSTEMS	9.44	9.06	9.63	9.77	**9.48**
2	2	INTERFACEWARE IGUANA	9.52	9.55	9.07	9.35	**9.37**
1	3	INFOR CLOVERLEAF	9.41	9.42	9.10	9.41	**9.34**
9	4	GENZEONE	8.58	8.49	8.61	9.38	**8.77**
5	5	LYNIATE RHAPSODY	8.97	8.44	8.35	9.06	**8.71**
15	6	REDOX	8.12	8.83	8.33	9.14	**8.61**
13	7	SAP	8.54	7.99	7.36	9.02	**8.23**
8	8	VMWARE	7.33	8.60	8.08	8.59	**8.15**
12	9	MEDHOST	7.76	7.98	8.57	7.99	**8.08**
4	10	NEXTGEN MIRTH	6.99	7.80	7.99	9.21	**8.00**

Source: Black Book Research™ Q1 2024

Interoperability Solutions: Clinical Data Integration Platforms

9. Integration, connectivity and Interfaces

Q9: The vendor supports interfaces so information can be shared between necessary applications. Solutions are easily integrated to existing backend systems as needed and HIE feasible. Seamless interfaces to legacy applications are performed as required for optimal functioning. Human integration and interface activities are administered precisely. Systems communicate effectively among provider groups and ancillaries. True interoperability with other healthcare organizations is factored into implementation.

| OVERALL RANK	Q9 CRITERIA RANK	DATA INTEGRATION PLATFORM VENDOR	HOSPITALS & HEALTH SYSTEMS	PAYERS	PUBLIC HEALTH	AMBULATORY & DIAGNOSTICS	MEAN
1	1	INFOR CLOVERLEAF	9.88	9.65	9.74	9.48	**9.69**
2	2	INTERFACEWARE IGUANA	8.68	9.34	9.11	9.30	**9.11**
4	3	NEXTGEN MIRTH	8.76	9.19	8.80	9.20	**8.99**
7	4	ORACLE	8.62	8.59	8.85	9.43	**8.87**
16	5	IBM	9.36	8.22	9.07	8.46	**8.78**
9	6	GENZEONE	8.89	8.50	8.22	9.15	**8.69**
5	7	LYNIATE RHAPSODY	9.04	8.70	8.15	8.39	**8.57**
3	8	INTERSYSTEMS	8.61	8.29	8.78	8.37	**8.51**
13	9	SAP	8.94	7.50	8.51	8.60	**8.39**
20	10	MEDITECH MAGIC	7.86	8.74	8.18	8.12	**8.23**

Source: Black Book Research™ Q1 2024

Interoperability Solutions: Clinical Data Integration Platforms

10. Scalability, Client Adaptability, Flexible Pricing

Q10: Interoperability solutions vendor provides flexible pricing allowing the client to choose and pay for the precise functionality and services needed. Vendor Invests in significant infrastructure and can provide services to enterprise organizations. IT products and services meet the changing and varied needs of the customer. Pricing is not rigid or shifting and meets needs of client.

OVERALL RANK	Q10 CRITERIA RANK	DATA INTEGRATION PLATFORM VENDOR	HOSPITALS & HEALTH SYSTEMS	PAYERS	PUBLIC HEALTH	AMBULATORY & DIAGNOSTICS	MEAN
1	1	INFOR CLOVERLEAF	9.47	9.32	9.36	9.59	**9.44**
3	2	INTERSYSTEMS	9.21	9.47	9.17	9.26	**9.28**
4	3	NEXTGEN MIRTH	9.12	9.30	9.08	9.25	**9.19**
5	4	LYNIATE RHAPSODY	8.51	9.36	9.46	8.98	**9.08**
2	5	INTERFACEWARE IGUANA	8.53	9.11	9.08	9.29	**9.00**
9	6	GENZEONE	8.76	9.04	8.92	8.75	**8.87**
14	7	EPIC SYSTEMS	7.78	7.92	8.02	8.94	**8.17**
8	8	VMWARE	8.28	8.39	7.06	8.52	**8.06**
17	9	QVERA	8.54	7.70	8.50	7.49	**8.06**
11	10	SURESCRIPTS	7.56	8.08	8.49	7.90	**8.01**

Source: Black Book Research™ Q1 2024

Interoperability Solutions: Clinical Data Integration Platforms

11. Vendor Staff Expertise, Compensation and Employee Performance

Q11: The vendor team of employees is considered top in industry for professionalism and skill. Vendor attracts and retains high performing staff. Vendor is focused on building and developing a strong employee team of producers. Employees act like owners/leaders. Company is moving towards leveraged pay at all levels. Vendor is using effective tools to tie performance metrics to compensation policy and compensating top leaders. Human resources-related criteria are scored from the client perspective on this indicator.

| OVERALL RANK	Q11 CRITERIA RANK	DATA INTEGRATION PLATFORM VENDOR	HOSPITALS & HEALTH SYSTEMS	PAYERS	PUBLIC HEALTH	AMBULATORY & DIAGNOSTICS	MEAN
2	1	INTERFACEWARE IGUANA	9.15	9.50	9.06	9.53	**9.31**
1	2	INFOR CLOVERLEAF	9.26	9.17	9.25	9.29	**9.24**
7	3	ORACLE	9.16	9.15	9.05	8.68	**9.01**
8	4	VMWARE	8.67	9.29	8.69	9.17	**8.96**
10	5	MICROSOFT	8.76	8.88	8.86	9.25	**8.94**
5	6	LYNIATE RHAPSODY	8.78	8.68	8.67	8.86	**8.75**
3	7	INTERSYSTEMS	8.64	8.51	8.97	8.48	**8.65**
11	8	SURESCRIPTS	8.22	8.39	9.05	8.77	**8.61**
4	9	NEXTGEN MIRTH	7.34	6.15	7.63	7.24	**7.09**
18	10	ORION	7.10	6.93	7.05	6.99	**7.02**

Source: Black Book Research™ Q1 2024

Interoperability Solutions: Clinical Data Integration Platforms

12. Reliability

Q12: The supplier meets agreed terms as evidenced by routine, acceptable service level reporting and industry expectations. Depth and breadth of applications/solutions are acceptable in meeting client needs. Online reliability is maximized, and outages/downtimes are minimized. Solid product and service capacities are demonstrated consistently. Service levels are consistently met as agreed. Services & support response is maximized by vendor team.

OVERALL RANK	Q12 CRITERIA RANK	DATA INTEGRATION PLATFORM VENDOR	HOSPITALS & HEALTH SYSTEMS	PAYERS	PUBLIC HEALTH	AMBULATORY & DIAGNOSTICS	MEAN
1	1	INFOR CLOVERLEAF	9.61	9.50	9.45	9.61	**9.54**
3	2	INTERSYSTEMS	9.09	9.30	8.94	9.50	**9.21**
7	3	ORACLE	8.15	8.80	8.86	8.93	**8.69**
2	4	INTERFACEWARE IGUANA	8.42	8.63	8.43	9.23	**8.68**
10	5	MICROSOFT	9.11	8.85	8.45	8.30	**8.68**
12	6	MEDHOST	8.69	8.60	8.02	8.95	**8.57**
6	7	PILOTFISH	8.74	8.75	8.16	8.19	**8.46**
17	8	QVERA	8.41	8.09	8.58	8.17	**8.31**
8	9	VMWARE	8.55	8.35	8.31	8.01	**8.30**
5	10	LYNIATE RHAPSODY	7.66	8.21	7.98	8.92	**8.19**

Source: Black Book Research™ Q1 2024

Interoperability Solutions: Clinical Data Integration Platforms

13. Brand image and marketing communications

Q13: The vendor's marketing and sales statements/pitches are accurately and appropriately represented by actual product and service deliverables. Image is consistent with top rankings. Sales presentations and proposals are delivered upon and corporate integrity/honesty in marketing and business development are highly valued. Company image and integrity are values upheld top-down consistently. Elevated level of relevant client communications enhances the vendor – user relationship.

OVERALL RANK	Q13 CRITERIA RANK	DATA INTEGRATION PLATFORM VENDOR	HOSPITALS & HEALTH SYSTEMS	PAYERS	PUBLIC HEALTH	AMBULATORY & DIAGNOSTICS	MEAN
1	1	INFOR CLOVERLEAF	9.34	9.28	9.46	9.58	**9.42**
2	2	INTERFACEWARE IGUANA	9.36	8.75	9.21	8.99	**9.08**
6	3	PILOTFISH	8.50	8.66	8.64	8.33	**8.53**
13	4	SAP	8.20	8.90	8.67	8.30	**8.52**
9	5	GENZEONE	8.99	8.86	8.13	7.88	**8.47**
8	6	VMWARE	7.52	8.82	8.78	8.40	**8.38**
3	7	INTERSYSTEMS	8.33	8.71	7.76	8.51	**8.33**
4	8	NEXTGEN MIRTH	8.41	8.87	8.22	7.40	**8.23**
10	9	MICROSOFT	6.48	7.03	7.74	7.13	**7.10**
12	10	MEDHOST	7.29	7.33	6.60	7.09	**7.08**

Source: Black Book Research™ Q1 2024

Interoperability Solutions: Clinical Data Integration Platforms

14. Marginal Value Adds

Q14: Beyond stimulus achievement, the vendors' cost savings are realized as generally estimated and not over-positioned or over/underestimated in ways that effect major client satisfaction or costs. Vendor offers value-adds as an organizational user partner in cost savings and avoidance initiatives and creative programs through bundled product design. Provides true business transformation opportunities to organizations utilizing data integration technologies.

OVERALL RANK	Q14 CRITERIA RANK	DATA INTEGRATION PLATFORM VENDOR	HOSPITALS & HEALTH SYSTEMS	PAYERS	PUBLIC HEALTH	AMBULATORY & DIAGNOSTICS	MEAN
2	1	INTERFACEWARE IGUANA	9.36	9.25	9.13	9.01	**9.19**
1	2	INFOR CLOVERLEAF	9.49	9.17	8.85	9.18	**9.17**
3	3	INTERSYSTEMS	8.82	9.13	8.67	8.96	**8.90**
18	4	ORION	9.45	8.29	8.56	8.87	**8.79**
14	5	EPIC SYSTEMS	9.16	8.90	8.46	8.57	**8.77**
7	6	ORACLE	8.56	9.27	8.41	8.61	**8.71**
8	7	VMWARE	8.25	8.77	9.34	8.13	**8.62**
13	8	SAP	7.64	8.78	8.69	9.32	**8.61**
15	9	REDOX	8.29	8.31	8.24	8.45	**8.33**
12	10	MEDHOST	7.90	8.47	7.71	8.59	**8.17**

Source: Black Book Research™ Q1 2024

Interoperability Solutions: Clinical Data Integration Platforms

15. Financial Viability and Managerial Stability

Q15: Vendor's viability, employee turnover, financial stability and/or cultural mismatches do not threaten relationship. Senior management and the board exemplify strong leadership principals to steward appropriate resources that impact buyers. Client is confident of long-term industry viability for this vendor based on investments, client adoption, exceptional outcomes and service levels. Field management is notably competent, stable and supportive of clients. The vendor demonstrates and provides evidence of competent fiscal management and leadership.

OVERALL RANK	Q15 CRITERIA RANK	DATA INTEGRATION PLATFORM VENDOR	HOSPITALS & HEALTH SYSTEMS	PAYERS	PUBLIC HEALTH	AMBULATORY & DIAGNOSTICS	MEAN
1	1	INFOR CLOVERLEAF	9.14	9.57	9.22	9.11	**9.26**
7	2	ORACLE	9.18	9.16	8.49	9.45	**9.07**
2	3	INTERFACEWARE IGUANA	9.12	8.87	8.60	9.27	**8.97**
4	4	NEXTGEN MIRTH	9.25	8.52	9.09	8.87	**8.93**
14	5	EPIC SYSTEMS	8.89	8.97	8.76	9.02	**8.91**
6	6	PILOTFISH	7.86	8.30	8.23	8.36	**8.19**
3	7	INTERSYSTEMS	8.25	8.42	7.75	8.25	**8.17**
10	8	MICROSOFT	7.26	7.11	7.39	9.06	**7.71**
11	9	SURESCRIPTS	6.96	7.02	7.50	8.58	**7.52**
12	10	MEDHOST	8.02	7.40	7.55	7.05	**7.51**

Source: Black Book Research™ Q1 2024

Interoperability Solutions: Clinical Data Integration Platforms

16. Data Security, Patient Privacy and Backup Services

Q16: In order to provide secure and constantly dependable data integration offerings for health care provider, payer and public health entities, the vendor has to provide the highest level of security and data back-up services. The vendor's service in these two areas is superior to the security and back-up system of past internal systems of the organization.

OVERALL RANK	Q16 CRITERIA RANK	DATA INTEGRATION PLATFORM VENDOR	HOSPITALS & HEALTH SYSTEMS	PAYERS	PUBLIC HEALTH	AMBULATORY & DIAGNOSTICS	MEAN
1	1	INFOR CLOVERLEAF	9.45	9.59	9.49	9.47	**9.50**
3	2	INTERSYSTEMS	9.35	9.31	9.42	9.26	**9.34**
6	3	PILOTFISH	8.71	8.77	9.32	9.00	**8.95**
4	4	NEXTGEN MIRTH	8.84	8.51	8.98	8.98	**8.83**
2	5	INTERFACEWARE IGUANA	9.09	8.30	9.10	8.75	**8.81**
10	6	MICROSOFT	8.82	8.73	8.83	8.46	**8.71**
17	7	QVERA	8.57	8.66	8.29	8.85	**8.59**
15	8	REDOX	7.78	8.85	8.83	8.89	**8.59**
11	9	SURESCRIPTS	8.12	8.69	8.70	8.82	**8.58**
9	10	GENZEONE	8.15	8.99	8.90	8.24	**8.57**

Source: Black Book Research™ Q1 2024

Interoperability Solutions: Clinical Data Integration Platforms

17. Support and Customer Care

Q17: Account management provides an adequate amount of onsite administration and support to clients. There exists a formal account management program that meets client needs. Media and clients reference this vendor as a services leader and top vendor correctly. Customer services and relationship satisfaction is manifested through significant flagship clients as well as smaller and newest customers similarly. Vendor provides appropriate number of accessible support and customer care personnel.

| OVERALL RANK	Q17 CRITERIA RANK	DATA INTEGRATION PLATFORM VENDOR	HOSPITALS & HEALTH SYSTEMS	PAYERS	PUBLIC HEALTH	AMBULATORY & DIAGNOSTICS	MEAN
1	1	INFOR CLOVERLEAF	9.47	9.40	9.54	9.46	**9.47**
2	2	INTERFACEWARE IGUANA	9.59	9.17	9.38	9.62	**9.44**
6	3	PILOTFISH	8.92	9.48	9.23	9.56	**9.30**
10	4	MICROSOFT	9.41	9.19	8.70	9.18	**9.12**
15	5	REDOX	8.74	8.56	9.13	8.63	**8.76**
9	6	GENZEONE	8.40	8.41	8.98	8.88	**8.67**
5	7	LYNIATE RHAPSODY	8.27	9.11	8.89	8.34	**8.65**
19	8	CHANGE HEALTHCARE	8.64	8.63	8.20	8.87	**8.59**
8	9	VMWARE	7.91	8.44	9.16	8.76	**8.57**
7	10	ORACLE	8.69	7.74	8.93	8.77	**8.53**

Source: Black Book Research™ Q1 2024

Interoperability Solutions: Clinical Data Integration Platforms

18. Best of Breed Technology and Process Improvement Developments

Q18: *Data integration and interoperability technology services are considered best of breed. Vendor technology elevates customers via capabilities, equipment, processes, deliverables, professional staff, leadership, quality assurance and innovative initiatives. Integration services are delivered at above current/former in-house service levels. Outcomes exceed buyer expectations to a level other vendors could not achieve.*

OVERALL RANK	Q18 CRITERIA RANK	DATA INTEGRATION PLATFORM VENDOR	HOSPITALS & HEALTH SYSTEMS	PAYERS	PUBLIC HEALTH	AMBULATORY & DIAGNOSTICS	MEAN
1	1	INFOR CLOVERLEAF	9.71	9.58	9.64	9.69	**9.66**
2	2	INTERFACEWARE IGUANA	8.92	9.32	9.04	9.35	**9.16**
3	3	INTERSYSTEMS	9.15	9.04	8.33	9.40	**8.98**
5	4	LYNIATE RHAPSODY	8.90	8.66	8.47	9.09	**8.78**
9	5	GENZEONE	9.04	8.53	8.32	9.08	**8.74**
4	6	NEXTGEN MIRTH	8.27	9.11	8.24	8.81	**8.61-**
14	7	EPIC SYSTEMS	9.05	8.63	8.53	8.10	**8.58**
10	8	MICROSOFT	8.05	8.20	8.87	9.03	**8.54**
7	9	ORACLE	8.60	7.95	8.01	8.55	**8.28**
11	10	SURESCRIPTS	7.75	8.62	7.72	8.80	**8.22**

Source: Black Book Research™ Q1 2024

Appendix

BLACK BOOK MARKET RESEARCH SURVEYS & IT USER POLLING

We hope that the data and analysis in this report will help you make informed and imaginative business decisions. If you have further requirements, the Black Book research team may be able to help you. For more information about Black Book's custom survey capabilities, please contact us directly at research@blackbookmarketresearch.com

Disclaimer

All Rights Reserved.

About Black Book ™

Black Book Rankings, a division of Black Book Market Research LLC, provides healthcare IT users, media, investors, analysts, quality minded vendors, and prospective software system buyers, pharmaceutical manufacturers, and other interested sectors of the clinical technology industry with comprehensive comparison data of the industry's top respected and competitively performing technology vendors. The largest user opinion poll of its kind in healthcare IT, Black Book™ collected over 2.5M viewpoints on information technology and outsourced services vendor performance. Black Book was founded in 2003, is internationally recognized for over 21 years of customer satisfaction polling, particularly in technology, services, outsourcing and offshoring industries.

Black Book™, its owners nor its employees hold any financial interest in the companies contained in this comparison performance report and is not incentivized to recommend any particular vendor.

Follow Black Book on Twitter at www.twitter.com/blackbookpolls

Follow us on Linkedin at www.linkedin.com/company/blackbookmarketresearchllc

For methodology, auditing, resources, comprehensive research and ranking data, see https://blackbookmarketresearch.com